arXiv:1611.10029v1 [math.NA] 30 Nov 2016

DECOUPLED MIXED ELEMENT SCHEMES FOR FOURTH ORDER PROBLEMS

SHUO ZHANG

Abstract. In this paper, we study decoupled mixed element schemes for fourth order problems. A general process is designed such that an elliptic problem on high-regularity space is transformed to a decoupled system with spaces of low order involved only and is further discretised by low-degree finite elements. The process can be fit for various fourth order problems, and is used in the remaining of the paper particularly for three-dimensional bi-Laplacian equation to conduct a family of mixed element discretisation schemes.

1. Introduction

Fourth order problems, of which the biLaplacian equation is a representative one, fall in the fundamental model problems in applied mathematics and are also frequently encountered and dealt with in applied sciences. Their discretisation have been attracting wide research interests. As a first approach, many kinds of conforming and nonconforming finite elements for second order Sobolev spaces are designed, and the discretisation of fourth order problems in primal formulations have been discussed in wide literature. We refer to, e.g., [8] and [12,30,31,33,35,37] for instances. Particularly, the two dimensional Morley element for biharmonic equation is generalised by [32] to arbitrary dimensions and then by [34] to arbitrary $(-\Delta)^m$ problem in n dimension with $n \geqslant m$. The elements are usually designed case by case, and are complicated especially in high dimensions.

An alternative way is to transform the primal problems to order reduced formulations. This is generally to construct a system on low-regularity spaces by introducing auxiliary variables, and discretize the generated system with numerical schemes. Various kinds of mixed methods have been designed for the biLaplacian equation; we refer to, e.g., [4, 9, 14, 16, 17, 20, 23, 27] for examples. Recently, different from the aforementioned methods, an order reduction framework based on regular decomposition was presented in [38] for general fourth order problems. Under this framework, once a "configurated" condition is verified, a problem on high-regularity space can be transferred constructively to an equivalent system on a triple of low-regularity spaces, and the framework can be fit for various fourth order problems.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65N30,35J30.

Key words and phrases. fourth order problem, mixed element scheme, decoupled scheme.

The author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No. 11471026) and National Centre for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

In this paper, we study the mixed element method for fourth order problems, with attention paid specially on the construction and discretisation of decoupled formulation. Actually, as auxiliary variables are introduced, the generated system will be of larger size; moreover, the variables can possess different regularities and thus possess different capacities of approximation accuracy with respect to finite element spaces. A decoupled formulation may provide opportunities for smaller systems and more flexible finite element choices. Relevant to the framework of [38], in this paper, a constructive process is presented on decoupling the primal problem to three subsystems and then discretizing them with finite elements with lower regularity. The three subsystems contain two elliptic ones and a saddle-point problem. The existence and well-posedness of the decoupled form can be proved without extra assumption. Though, the well-posed saddle-point subproblem can be replaced by a singular one without loss of equivalence. The admission of singular problem can bring in convenience; this will be discussed more in future works. The validity of the methodology is verified with the bi-Laplacian equation in three dimensional, which is a fundamental model problem arising in, e.g., the linear elasticity model in the formulation of Galerkin vector (c.f. [15]) and in the transmission eigenvalue problem (c.f. [11, 22]) in acoustics. Decoupled formulations and a family of discretisation schemes are presented for the model problem; optimal accuracy with respect to both the regularity of the solution and the degree of finite elements are obtained.

There have been several fruitful and powerful approachs for solving fourth order problems which make use of kinds of stabilizations, such as the discontinuous Galerkin(dG) method (c.f., e.g., [6, 7, 13]) and the weak Galerkin(wG) method (c.f., e.g., [24, 29, 36]) for biLaplacian equations. They methods use mesh-dependent bilinear forms, and will not be discussed in this paper. A comparison between them and the method in this paper could be an interesting topic.

The remaining of the paper is organised as follows. Some notation is given in the remaining part of this section. In Section 2, a general decomposition process is presented as a framework. In Sections 3 and 4, continuous and discretised mixed formulations of the bi-Laplacian equation are studied under the framework. Finally, some concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

In this paper, we apply these notation. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a simply connected polyhedron domain, and $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ be the boundary of Ω. We use $H_0^2(\Omega)$, $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega)$ and $H_0(\mathrm{div}, \Omega)$ for certain Sobolev spaces as usual, and specifically, denote $L_0^2(\Omega) := \{w \in L^2(\Omega) : \int_\Omega w dx = 0\}$, $\underset{\sim}{H}{}_0^1(\Omega) := (H_0^1(\Omega))^3$, $N_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega) := \{\underset{\sim}{\eta} \in H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega), (\underset{\sim}{\eta}, \nabla s) = 0 \ \forall\, s \in H_0^1(\Omega)\}$, and $\mathring{H}_0(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) := \{\underset{\sim}{\tau} \in H_0(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) : \mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\tau} = 0\}$. We use "$\underset{\sim}{\ }$" for vector valued quantities in the present paper. We use $(\cdot, \cdot)$ for L^2 inner product and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the duality between a space and its dual. Occasionally, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be treated as L^2 inner product without ambiguity. Finally, $\lesssim$, $\gtrsim$, and $\eqsim$ respectively denote $\leqslant$, $\geqslant$,

and $=$ up to a constant. The hidden constants depend on the domain, and, when triangulation is involved, they also depend on the shape-regularity of the triangulation, but they do not depend on h or any other mesh parameter.

2. Decoupled order reduced formulation of high order problem

We consider the variational problem: given $f \in V'$, find $u \in V$, such that

$$a(u, v) = \langle f, v\rangle, \quad \forall\, v \in V, \tag{1}$$

where V be a Hilbert space, and $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is equivalently an inner product on V. In many applications, V can be some Sobolev space of high order. We thus discuss its order reduced formulations.

2.1. **Configurable triple and order deduced formulation.**

Definition 1. *([38]) Given two Hilbert spaces R and Y and an operator B, if there is a Hilbert space H, such that $Y \subset H$ continuously, and B is a closed operator that maps R into H, then the triple $\{R, Y, B\}$ is called a **configurable** triple, and H is called one ground space of $\{R, Y, B\}$. Given a Hilbert space W equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|_W$, if $W = \{w \in R : Bw \in Y\}$, and $\|w\|_W$ is equivalent to $\|w\|_R + \|Bw\|_Y$, then W is called to be **configurated** by the triple $\{R, Y, B\}$.*

Lemma 2. *([38]) For $\{R, Y, B\}$ a configurable triple with H the ground space, define $\Sigma := BR + Y$. Then Σ is a Hilbert space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\Sigma$ defined by*

$$\|\sigma\|_\Sigma := \inf_{r\in R, y\in Y, Br+y=\sigma} \|Br\|_H + \|y\|_Y.$$

Moreover, BR is closed in Σ and $\|Br\|_H \eqsim \|Br\|_\Sigma$ for $r \in R$.

In the sequel, we always set the hypothesis below.

Hypothesis 0.

(1) $\{R, Y, B\}$ is a configurable triple, and V is configurated by the triple $\{R, Y, B\}$.
(2) $a(u, v) := a_R(u, v) + b(u, Bv) + b(v, Bu) + a_Y(Bu, Bv)$ is an inner product on V, where $a_{R,Y}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is a bounded symmetric semidefinite bilinear form on R, Y, respectively, and $b(\cdot,\cdot)$ is a bounded bilinear form on $R \times Y$.

Given $f \in V'$, it can be represented as $\langle f, v\rangle = \langle f_R, v\rangle + \langle f_Y, Bv\rangle$ for some $f_R \in R'$ and $f_Y \in Y'$. We consider a specific case of the problem (1): find $u \in V$, such that

$$a_R(u, v) + b(u, Bv) + b(v, Bu) + a_Y(Bu, Bv) = \langle f_R, v\rangle + \langle f_Y, Bv\rangle, \quad \forall\, v \in V. \tag{2}$$

Corresponding to [38], an equivalent formulation of (2) is to find $(u, y, g_+) \in X := R \times Y \times \Sigma'$, such that, for any $(v, z, l_+) \in X$,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} a_R(u,v) & +b(v,y) & +\langle g_+, Bv\rangle = \langle f_R, v\rangle, \\ b(u,z) & +a_Y(y,z) & -\langle g_+, z\rangle = \langle f_Y, z\rangle, \\ \langle l_+, Bu\rangle & -\langle l_+, y\rangle & = 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{3}$$

Lemma 3. *Given $f_R \in R'$ and $f_Y \in Y'$, the problem* (3) *admits a unique solution $(u, y, g_+) \in X$, and*

$$\|u\|_{W_1} + \|y\|_{W_2} + \|g_+\|_{\Sigma'} \eqsim \|f_R\|_{R'} + \|f_Y\|_{Y'}.$$

Moreover, u solves the primal problem (2)*.*

2.2. **An expanded order reduced formulation.** As BR is closed in Σ, the decomposition holds that $\Sigma = BR \oplus (BR)^\perp$, with $(BR)^\perp$ being the orthogonal complement of BR in Σ. Meanwhile, $\Sigma' = (BR)^0 \oplus ((BR)^\perp)^0$. In this paper, we use the superscript "$\cdot^0$" to denote the polar set. This way, the problem (3) can be rewritten as: find $(u, y, g^0, g^0_\perp) \in R \times Y \times (BR)^0 \times ((BR)^\perp)^0$, such that, for $(v, z, l^0, l^0_\perp) \in R \times Y \times (BR)^0 \times ((BR)^\perp)^0$,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{llll} a_R(u,v) & +b(v,y) & & +\langle g^0_\perp, Bv\rangle = \langle f_R, v\rangle, \\ b(u,z) & +a_Y(y,z) & -\langle g^0, z\rangle & -\langle g^0_\perp, z\rangle = \langle f_Y, z\rangle, \\ & -\langle l^0, y\rangle & & = 0. \\ \langle l^0_\perp, Bu\rangle & -\langle l^0_\perp, \phi\rangle & & = 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{4}$$

Now we turn to the representation of $(BR)^0$ and $((BR)^\perp)^0$. Let $c(\cdot,\cdot)$ be the inner product on Σ, and $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ be a bilinear form on Σ and satisfy the property below:

Property I.

(1) $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ is continuous on Σ and $d(Bs, \tau) = 0$ for any $s \in R$ and $\tau \in \Sigma$;

(2) $\inf\limits_{\tau\in(BR)^\perp} \sup\limits_{\sigma\in(BR)^\perp} \dfrac{d(\tau,\sigma)}{\|\tau\|_\Sigma\|\sigma\|_\Sigma} \geqslant C > 0.$

Lemma 4. *Let $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ be a continuous bilinear form (not necessarily symmetric) defined on Σ such that $d(Bs, \tau) = 0$ for any $s \in R$ and $\tau \in \Sigma$. Then*

$$\inf_{\tau\in(BR)^\perp} \sup_{\sigma\in(BR)^\perp} \frac{d(\tau,\sigma)}{\|\tau\|_\Sigma\|\sigma\|_\Sigma} \eqsim \inf_{\tau\in(BR)^\perp} \sup_{y\in Y} \frac{d(\tau,y)}{\|\tau\|_\Sigma\|y\|_Y} \eqsim \inf_{\tau\in\Sigma} \sup_{y\in Y, r\in R} \frac{d(\tau,y) + c(\tau, Br)}{\|\tau\|_\Sigma(\|y\|_Y + \|Br\|_H)}.$$

Proof. Given $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there exists a $y_\sigma \in Y$ and $r_\sigma \in R$, such that $\sigma = y_\sigma + Br_\sigma$, and $\|\sigma\|_\Sigma \geqslant C(\|y_\sigma\|_Y + \|Br_\sigma\|_H)$. Meanwhile, given $y \in Y$, it can be decomposed uniquely as $y = \sigma_y + Br_y$ with $\sigma_y \in (BR)^\perp$ and thus $\|\sigma_y\|_\Sigma \leqslant \|y\|_\Sigma \leqslant \|y\|_Y$. This shows $\inf\limits_{\tau\in(BR)^\perp} \sup\limits_{\sigma\in(BR)^\perp} \dfrac{d(\tau,\sigma)}{\|\tau\|_\Sigma\|\sigma\|_\Sigma} \eqsim \inf\limits_{\tau\in(BR)^\perp} \sup\limits_{y\in Y} \dfrac{d(\tau,y)}{\|\tau\|_\Sigma\|y\|_Y}$. For the

remaining part, it holds that

$$\inf_{\tau\in\Sigma}\sup_{y\in Y,r\in R}\frac{d(\tau,y)+c(\tau,Br)}{\|\tau\|_\Sigma(\|y\|_Y+\|Br\|_H)}\eqsim\inf_{\tau_1\in BR,\tau_2\in(BR)^\perp}\sup_{y\in Y,r\in R}\frac{d(\tau_2,y)+c(\tau_1,Br)}{(\|\tau_1\|_\Sigma+\|\tau_2\|_\Sigma)(\|y\|_Y+\|Br\|_H)}$$

$$\eqsim\min\left(\inf_{\tau_1\in BR}\sup_{r\in R}\frac{c(\tau_1,Br)}{\|\tau_1\|_\Sigma\|Br\|_H},\inf_{\tau_2\in(BR)^\perp}\sup_{y\in Y}\frac{d(\tau_2,y)}{\|\tau_2\|_\Sigma\|y\|_Y}\right)\eqsim\inf_{\tau_2\in(BR)^\perp}\sup_{y\in Y}\frac{d(\tau_2,y)}{\|\tau_2\|_\Sigma\|y\|_Y}.$$

The proof is completed. □

The lemma below is evident.

Lemma 5. *Let $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ be a bilinear form on Σ that satisfied* **Property I**. *Given $g\in\Sigma'$,*

(1) $g\in((BR)^\perp)^0$ if and only if there is an $r\in R$, such that $\langle g,\sigma\rangle=c(Br,\sigma)$ for any $\sigma\in\Sigma$;

(2) $g\in(BR)^0$ if and only if there is a $\tau_g\in(BR)^\perp$, such that $\langle g,\sigma\rangle=c(\tau_g,\sigma)$ for any $\sigma\in\Sigma$;

(3) $g\in(BR)^0$ if and only if there is a $\tau_g\in\Sigma$, such that $\langle g,\sigma\rangle=d(\tau_g,\sigma)$ for any $\sigma\in\Sigma$.

An equivalent problem of (4) is then to find $(u,y,\xi,r)\in R\times Y\times\Sigma\times R$, such that for $(v,z,\eta,s)\in R\times Y\times\Sigma\times R$,

$$\text{(5)}\qquad\begin{cases}a_R(u,v) & +b(v,y) & & +c(Br,Bv) & =\langle f_R,v\rangle,\\ b(u,z) & +a_Y(y,z) & -d(\xi,z) & -c(Br,z) & =\langle f_Y,z\rangle,\\ & -d(\eta,y) & & & =0,\\ c(Bs,Bu) & -c(Bs,y) & & & =0.\end{cases}$$

As $d(Bs,\tau)=0$ for $s\in R$ and $\tau\in\Sigma$, ξ can not be uniquely determined by (5). By introducing further a Lagrangian multiplier, we consider a problem: find $(p,u,y,\xi,r)\in R\times R\times Y\times\Sigma\times R$, such that for $(q,v,z,\eta,s)\in R\times R\times Y\times\Sigma\times R$,

$$\text{(6)}\qquad\begin{cases} & & & c(\xi,Bq) & & =0,\\ & a_R(u,v) & +b(v,y) & & +c(Br,Bv) & =\langle f_R,v\rangle,\\ & b(u,z) & +a_Y(y,z) & -d(\xi,z) & -c(Br,z) & =\langle f_Y,z\rangle,\\ c(\eta,Bp) & & -d(\eta,y) & & & =0,\\ & c(Bs,Bu) & -c(Bs,y) & & & =0.\end{cases}$$

The theorem below holds immediately.

Theorem 6. *Provided* ***Hypothesis 0****, let $c(\cdot,\cdot)$ be the inner product on Σ, and $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ be a bilinear form on Σ that satisfies* ***Property I****. Given $f_R\in R'$ and $f_Y\in Y'$,*

(1) System (6) *admits a unique solution (p,u,y,ξ,r), and u solves* (2) *and (u,y,ξ,r) solves* (5)*;*

(2) if (u,y,ξ,r) and $(\hat u,\hat y,\hat\xi,\hat r)$ are two solutions of (5)*, then $u=\hat u$, $\xi=\hat\xi$ and $r=\hat r$.*

2.3. **Decoupled order reduced formulations.** In the sequel, we focus ourselves on the case that the assumption below holds.

Assumption II. B is injective on R, $a_R(u,v)=0$ for $u,v\in R$, and $b(v,z)=0$ for $v\in R$ and $z\in Y$.

A main result of this paper is the theorem below.

Theorem 7. *Provided **Hypothesis 0** and **Assumption II**, let $c(\cdot,\cdot)$ be the inner product on Σ, and $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ be a bilinear form on Σ that satisfies **Property I**.*

(1) The problem (2) *can be decoupled in accordance with the problem* (6) *as*

(a) find $r\in R$, such that

$$c(Br,Bv)=\langle f_R,v\rangle,\quad \forall\, v\in R; \tag{7}$$

(b) with r solved out, find $(p,y,\xi)\in R\times Y\times\Sigma$, such that, for $(q,z,\eta)\in R\times Y\times\Sigma$,

$$\begin{cases} a_Y(y,z) & & -d(\xi,z) &=& \langle f_Y,z\rangle + c(Br,z),\\ & & c(\xi,Bq) &=& 0\\ -d(y,\eta) & +c(\eta,Bp) & &=& 0. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

(c) with y solved out, find $u\in R$, such that

$$c(Bu,Bq)=c(y,Bs),\quad \forall\, s\in R. \tag{9}$$

(2) The problem (2) *can be decoupled in accordance with the problem* (4) *as*

(a) find $r\in R$, such that

$$c(Br,Bv)=\langle f_R,v\rangle,\quad \forall\, v\in R; \tag{10}$$

(b) with $r\in R$ solved out, find $(y,\xi)\in Y\times\Sigma$, such that for $(z,\eta)\in Y\times\Sigma$,

$$\begin{cases} a_R(y,z) & -d(\xi,z) &=& \langle f_Y,z\rangle + c(Br,z),\\ -d(y,\eta) & & =& 0; \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

(c) with y solved out, find $u\in R$, such that

$$c(Bu,Bs)=c(y,Bs),\quad \forall\, s\in R. \tag{12}$$

2.4. **Discretisation of the decomposed formulation.** Suppose subspaces $R_{h,i}\subset R$, $i=1,2,3$, $\Sigma_h\subset\Sigma$ and $Y_h\subset Y$ are respectively closed, and $BR_{h,2}\subset\Sigma_h$. For Problem (6), we propose the discretisation scheme associated with (7)–(9):

(1) find $r_h\in R_{h,1}$, such that

$$c(Br_h,Bv_h)=\langle f_R,v_h\rangle,\quad \forall\, v_h\in R_{h,1}; \tag{13}$$

(2) with r_h solved out, find $(p_h,y_h,\xi_h)\in R_{h,2}\times Y_h\times\Sigma_h$, such that, for $(q_h,z_h,\eta_h)\in R_h\times Y_h\times\Sigma_h$,

$$\begin{cases} a_Y(y_h,z_h) & & -d(\xi_h,z_h) &=& \langle f_Y,z_h\rangle + c(Br_h,z_h),\\ & & c(\xi_h,Bq_h) &=& 0\\ -d(y_h,\eta_h) & +c(\eta_h,Bp_h) & &=& 0. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

(3) with y_h solved out, find $u_h \in R_{h,3}$, such that

$$c(Bu_h, Bq_h) = c(y_h, Bs_h), \quad \forall\, s_h \in R_{h,3}. \tag{15}$$

Meanwhile, for Problem (5), we propose a discretisation associated with (10) – (12):

(1) find $r_h \in R_{h,1}$, such that

$$c(Br_h, Bv_h) = \langle f_R, v_h\rangle, \quad \forall\, v_h \in R_{h,1}; \tag{16}$$

(2) with r_h solved out, find $(y_h, \xi_h) \in Y_h \times \Sigma_h$, such that for $(z_h, \eta_h) \in Y_h \times \Sigma_h$,

$$\begin{cases} a_Y(y_h, z_h) & -d_h(\xi_h, z_h) &= \langle f_Y, z_h\rangle + c(Br_h, z_h), \\ -d_h(y_h, \eta_h) & & = 0; \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

(3) with y_h solved out, find $u_h \in R_{h,3}$, such that

$$c(Bu_h, Bs_h) = c(y_h, Bs_h), \quad \forall\, s_h \in R_{h,3}. \tag{18}$$

By **Hypothesis 0** and **II**, problems (13) and (15) are well-posed. For (14), we need Property III:

Property III.

(1) $a_Y(\cdot,\cdot)$ is coercive on $\{z_h \in Y_h : d(z_h, \eta_h) = 0, \forall\, \eta_h \in (BR_{h,2})^\perp\}$;

(2) $\inf\limits_{\tau_h \in (BR_{h,2})^\perp} \sup\limits_{y_h \in Y_h} \dfrac{d(\tau_h, y_h)}{\|\tau_h\|_\Sigma \|y_h\|_Y} \geqslant C$ where $(BR_{h,2})^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $BR_{h,2}$ in Σ_h.

Similar to Lemma 4, we can prove the lemma below.

Lemma 8. $\inf\limits_{\tau_h \in (BR_{h,2})^\perp} \sup\limits_{y_h \in Y_h} \dfrac{d(\tau_h, y_h)}{\|\tau_h\|_\Sigma \|y_h\|_Y} \eqsim \inf\limits_{\tau_h \in \Sigma_h} \sup\limits_{y_h \in Y_h, r_h \in R_{h,2}} \dfrac{d(\tau_h, y_h) + c(\tau_h, Br_h)}{\|\tau_h\|_\Sigma (\|y_h\|_Y + \|Br_h\|_H)}$.

Lemma 9. *If $R_{h,2}$, Y_h and Σ_h satisfy* **Property III**, *the problem* (14) *is well posed.*

Lemma 10. *Given $F_R \in R'$ and $F_Y \in Y'$, let $r \sim (p, y, \xi) \sim u$ and $r_h \sim (p_h, y_h, \xi_h) \sim u_h$ be the solution of Problems* (7)–(9) *and Problems* (13)–(15)*, respectively. Then*

(1) $\|r - r_h\|_R \leqslant C \inf\limits_{v_h \in R_{h,1}} \|r - s_h\|_R$;

(2) $p = p_h = 0$;

(3) $\|y - y_h\|_Y + \|\xi - \xi_h\|_\Sigma \leqslant C \inf\limits_{z_h \in Y_h, \eta_h \in \Sigma_h} \|y - z_h\|_Y + \|\xi - \eta_h\|_\Sigma + \sup\limits_{z_h \in Y_h} \dfrac{c(B(r_h - r), z_h)}{\|z_h\|_Y}$;

(4) $\|u - u_h\|_R \leqslant C(\inf\limits_{v_h \in R_{h,3}} \|u - v_h\|_R + \sup\limits_{s_h \in R_{h,3}} \dfrac{c(y - y_h, Bs_h)}{\|s_h\|_R})$.

Lemma 11. *Given $F_R \in R'$ and $F_Y \in Y'$, let $r_h \sim (p_h, y_h, \xi_h) \sim u_h$ be the solution of Problems* (13)–(15)*. Then $r_h \sim (y_h, \xi_h) \sim u_h$ is a solution of Problems* (16)–(18)*; moreover, if $\hat{r}_h \sim (\hat{y}_h, \hat{\xi}_h) \sim \hat{u}_h$ is another solution of Problems* (16)–(18)*, then $\hat{r}_h = r_h$, $\hat{y}_h = y_h$ and $\hat{u}_h = u_h$.*

3. Decoupled formulation of the 3D bi-Laplacian equation

In this section, we study the finite element method for the three dimensional bi-Laplacian equation

$$(-\Delta)\,(-\alpha(x)\Delta u) = f, \tag{19}$$

with the homogeneous boundary data $u = 0$ and $\nabla u = \underset{\sim}{0}$ and with $0 < \alpha_s < \alpha(x) < \alpha_b$.

The primal variational formulation is, given $f \in H^{-2}(\Omega)$, to find $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, such that

$$(\alpha\Delta u, \Delta v) = \langle f, v\rangle, \quad \forall\, v \in H_0^2(\Omega). \tag{20}$$

As $H_0^2(\Omega)$ is configurated by $\{H_0^1(\Omega), \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega), \nabla\}$, we rewrite the model problem as, given $f_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $\underset{\sim}{f}_2 \in \underset{\sim}{H}^{-1}(\Omega)$, to find $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, such that

$$(\alpha\mathrm{div}\nabla u, \mathrm{div}\nabla v) + (\mathrm{curl}\nabla u, \mathrm{curl}\nabla v) = \langle f_1, v\rangle + \langle \underset{\sim}{f}_2, \nabla v\rangle, \quad \forall\, v \in H_0^2(\Omega). \tag{21}$$

Note that we add a mute term $(\mathrm{curl}\nabla u, \mathrm{curl}\nabla v)$ here without any difference.

3.1. **Structure of Sobolev spaces.**

Lemma 12. *([14])For polyhedron domain Ω, $H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega) \cap H_0(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) = \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, if Ω is convex, $H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega) \cap H(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) \subset \underset{\sim}{H}^1(\Omega)$, and $H(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega) \cap H_0(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) \subset \underset{\sim}{H}^1(\Omega)$.*

Lemma 13. *([19, 26]) Given $\underset{\sim}{\eta} \in H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega)$, there exists a $\underset{\sim}{\varphi} \in \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, such that* $\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi} = \mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta}$, *and $\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\|_{1,\Omega} \leqslant C\|\underset{\sim}{\eta}\|_{\mathrm{curl},\Omega}$, with C a generic positive constant uniform in $H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega)$.*

Lemma 14. *(Friedrichs Inequality, c.f. [5]) There exists a constant C, such that it holds for $\underset{\sim}{\nu} \in N_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega)$ that*

$$\|\underset{\sim}{\nu}\|_{0,\Omega} \leqslant C\|\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\nu}\|_{0,\Omega}. \tag{22}$$

Lemma 15. *(regular decomposition of $H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega)$) Given $\underset{\sim}{\eta} \in H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega)$, there exists a $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\underset{\sim}{\varphi} \in \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, such that $\underset{\sim}{\eta} = \nabla w + \underset{\sim}{\varphi}$ and $\|\underset{\sim}{\eta}\|_{\mathrm{curl},\Omega} \geqslant C(\|w\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\|_{1,\Omega})$.*

Proposition 16.

$$\inf_{\underset{\sim}{\eta}\in N_0(\mathrm{curl},\Omega)\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}}\sup_{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\in \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)}\frac{(\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta})}{\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\|_{1,\Omega}\|\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta}\|_{0,\Omega}}=\inf_{\underset{\sim}{\tau}\in \mathring{H}_0(\mathrm{div},\Omega)\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}}\sup_{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\in \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)}\frac{(\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\underset{\sim}{\tau})}{\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\|_{1,\Omega}\|\underset{\sim}{\tau}\|_{0,\Omega}}\geqslant C>0. \tag{23}$$

3.2. **Decoupled formulations.** According to Section 2.2, we can present the decoupled formulations of (21) as below.

Decouple formulation **A.** (21) can be decoupled to the system below:

(1) find $r\in H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that

$$(\nabla r,\nabla v)=-\langle f_1,v\rangle,\forall\, v\in H_0^1(\Omega); \tag{24}$$

(2) with r solved out, find $(\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\underset{\sim}{\zeta},p)\in \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)\times H_0(\mathrm{curl},\Omega)\times H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that, for $(\underset{\sim}{\psi},\underset{\sim}{\eta},q)\in \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)\times H_0(\mathrm{curl},\Omega)\times H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{cases}(\alpha\mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\psi})+(\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}) & (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta},\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}) &=& \langle \underset{\sim}{f}_2,\underset{\sim}{\psi}\rangle-(\nabla r,\underset{\sim}{\psi}),\\ & (\underset{\sim}{\zeta},\nabla q) &=& 0\\ (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta}) \quad +(\underset{\sim}{\eta},\nabla p) & &=& 0;\end{cases} \tag{25}$$

(3) with $\underset{\sim}{\varphi}$ solved out, find $u\in H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that

$$(\nabla u,\nabla s)=(\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\nabla s),\ \forall\, s\in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{26}$$

Decoupled formulation **B.** (21) can be decoupled to the system below:

(1) find $r\in H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that

$$(\nabla r,\nabla v)=-\langle f_1,v\rangle,\forall\, v\in H_0^1(\Omega); \tag{27}$$

(2) with r solved out, find $(\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\underset{\sim}{\zeta})\in \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)\times H_0(\mathrm{curl},\Omega)$, such that, for $(\underset{\sim}{\psi},\underset{\sim}{\eta})\in \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)\times H_0(\mathrm{curl},\Omega)$,

$$\begin{cases}(\alpha\mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\psi})+(\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}) & (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta},\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}) &=& \langle \underset{\sim}{f}_2,\underset{\sim}{\psi}\rangle-(\nabla r,\underset{\sim}{\psi}),\\ (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi},\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta}) & &=& 0;\end{cases} \tag{28}$$

(3) with $\underset{\sim}{\varphi}$ solved out, find $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that

$$(\nabla u, \nabla s) = (\underset{\sim}{\varphi}, \nabla s), \ \forall\, s \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{29}$$

Theorem 17. *Given $f_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $\underset{\sim}{f}_2 \in \underset{\sim}{H}^{-1}(\Omega)$, Problem **A** admits a unique solution $r \sim (\underset{\sim}{\varphi}, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}, 0) \sim u$, and u solves the problem* (21) *and $r \sim (\underset{\sim}{\varphi}, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}) \sim u$ solves Problem **B**. Further,*

(1) if Ω is a convex polyhedron, then,

(a) if $f_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, then $\|r\|_{2,\Omega} \eqsim \|f_1\|_{0,\Omega}$;

(b) if α is smooth on Ω and $\underset{\sim}{f}_2 \in \underset{\sim}{L}^2(\Omega)$, then

$$\|r\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{\zeta}\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta}\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\|_{2,\Omega} + \|u\|_{3,\Omega} \eqsim \|f_1\|_{-1,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{f}_2\|_{0,\Omega}; \tag{30}$$

*(2) if $\hat{r} \sim (\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}, \hat{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}) \sim \hat{u}$ is another solution of Problem **B**, then $\hat{r} = r$, $\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}} = \underset{\sim}{\varphi}$ and $\hat{u} = u$.*

Proof. We only have to show the regularity estimate 1(b). Given $f_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $\underset{\sim}{f}_2 \in \underset{\sim}{L}^2(\Omega)$, by the regularity of fourth order problem, $\|u\|_{3,\Omega} \eqsim \|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\|_{2,\Omega} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{-1,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{f}_2\|_{0,\Omega}$. As $(\underset{\sim}{\zeta}, \nabla q) = 0$ for any $q \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we have $\mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\zeta} = 0$, and thus $\underset{\sim}{\zeta} \in H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega) \cap H(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) \subset \underset{\sim}{H}^1(\Omega)$. Besides, $(\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta}, \mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}) = (\underset{\sim}{f}_2 - \nabla\alpha\nabla\cdot\underset{\sim}{\varphi} - \nabla r, \underset{\sim}{\psi})$ for any $\underset{\sim}{\psi} \in \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, we thus obtain $\mathrm{curlcurl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta} = \underset{\sim}{f}_2 - \nabla\alpha\nabla\cdot\underset{\sim}{\varphi} - \nabla r \in \underset{\sim}{L}^2(\Omega)$. Since $\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta} \subset H_0(\mathrm{div}, \Omega)$, we obtain further $\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta} \in H(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega) \cap H_0(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) \subset \underset{\sim}{H}^1(\Omega)$. The remaining of the lemma follows by the regularity of Poisson equation. The proof is completed. □

4. Finite element discretisation

In this section, we choose respective finite element subspaces of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $\underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega)$ and use them to replace the Sobolev spaces in the mixed system to generate a discretisation.

4.1. **Subdivision and finite elements.** For K a tetrahedron with a_i, $i = 1:4$, the vertices, denote by F_i the corresponding opposite faces. The barycentre coordinates are denoted as usual by λ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Denote $q_0 = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4$, and $q_i = q_0/\lambda_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Obviously, q_0 vanishes on the faces of K, and q_i vanishes on the faces of K other than F_i. As usual, we use $P_k(F)$ for the

set of polynomials on a face F of degrees not higher than k, $P_k(K)$ for the set of polynomials on K of degrees not higher than k, and $\hat{P}_k(K)$ is the space of homogeneous k-th degree polynomials. Besides, denote shape function spaces:

- $P_k^i(K) := \text{span}\{\lambda_1^{\alpha_1}\lambda_2^{\alpha_2}\lambda_3^{\alpha_3}\lambda_4^{\alpha_4} : \alpha_i = 0,\ \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j = k\}$ for any 4-index $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$;
- $BF_k^i(K) := \{pq_i : p \in P_k^i(K)\}$, $BB_k(K) := \{pq_0 : p \in P_k(K)\}$;
- $\mathfrak{P}_k(K) := P_k(K) + \sum_{i=1}^4 BF_{k-1}^i(K) + BB_{k-2}(K)$;
- $\mathbb{E}_k(K) := \{\underset{\sim}{u} + \underset{\sim}{v} \times \underset{\sim}{x} : \underset{\sim}{u} \in (P_{k-1}(K))^3,\ \underset{\sim}{v} \in (\hat{P}_{k-1}(K))^3\}$.

Evidently, $BF_k^i(K) \subset P_{k+3}(K)$, $BB_k(K) \subset P_{k+4}(K)$, $P_k^i(K) \subsetneq P_k(K)$, and $P_k^i(K)|_{F_i} = P_k(F_i) = P_k(K)|_{F_i}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_h$ be a tetrahedron subdivision of Ω, such that $\bar{\Omega} = \cup_{K\in\mathcal{G}_h}\bar{K}$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_h$, $\mathcal{F}_h^i$, $\mathcal{E}_h$, $\mathcal{E}_h^i$, $\mathcal{X}_h$ and $\mathcal{X}_h^i$ respectively the set of faces, interior faces, edges, interior edges, vertices and interior vertices. Denote by $P_k(\mathcal{G}_h)$ the space of piecewise k-th degree polynomials on $\mathcal{G}_h$. Associatedly, define finite element spaces by

- $L_h^k := \{w \in H^1(\Omega) : w|_K \in P_k(K),\ \forall\, K \in \mathcal{G}_h\}$, and $L_{h0}^k = L_h^k \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$;
- $\mathfrak{L}_h^k := \{w \in H^1(\Omega) : w|_K \in \mathfrak{P}_k(K),\ \forall\, K \in \mathcal{G}_h\}$, and $\mathfrak{L}_{h0}^k = \mathfrak{L}_h^k \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$;
- $\mathbb{N}_h^k := \{\underset{\sim}{w} \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega) : \underset{\sim}{w}|_K \in \mathbb{E}_k(K),\ \forall\, K \in \mathcal{G}_h\}$, and $\mathbb{N}_{h0}^k = \mathbb{N}_h^k \cap H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega)$.

It is well known that ([25]) $\{\underset{\sim}{\eta} \in \mathbb{N}_{h0}^k : \text{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta} = \underset{\sim}{0}\} = \nabla L_{h0}^k$. Thus denote $N_{h0}^k(\text{curl}) := \{\underset{\sim}{\eta} \in \mathbb{N}_{h0}^k :$ $(\underset{\sim}{\eta}, \nabla v) = 0,\ \forall\, v \in L_{h0}^k\}$. Namely, $N_{h0}^k(\text{curl})$ is the orthogonal completion of (∇L_{h0}^k) in $\mathbb{N}_{h0}^k$ in L^2 inner product and also $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ inner product.

Lemma 18. *(Discretized Friedrichs Inequality, c.f. [3,18,21]) There exists a constant C, such that $\|\underset{\sim}{v}_h\|_{0,\Omega} \leqslant C\|\text{curl}\underset{\sim}{v}_h\|_{0,\Omega}$ for $\underset{\sim}{v}_h \in \mathbb{N}_{h0}^k(\text{curl})$.*

Lemma 19. *For any integer k, there is a constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$\inf_{\underset{\sim}{\eta}_h \in N_{h0}^k(\text{curl})\setminus\{\underset{\sim}{0}\}} \sup_{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h \in (\mathfrak{L}_{h0}^k)^3} \frac{(\text{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \text{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta}_h)}{\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h\|_{1,\Omega}\|\underset{\sim}{\eta}_h\|_{\text{curl},\Omega}} \geqslant C. \tag{31}$$

Proof. Evidently, $\text{curl}\mathbb{N}_{h0}^k \subset \mathring{H}_0(\text{div}, \Omega) \cap (P_{k-1}(\mathcal{T}_h))^3$, thus it suffices for us to prove:

$$\inf_{\underset{\sim}{q}_h \in \mathring{H}_0(\text{div},\Omega)\cap(P_{k-1}(\mathcal{T}_h))^3\setminus\{\underset{\sim}{0}\}} \sup_{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h \in (\mathfrak{L}_{h0}^k)^3} \frac{(\text{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \underset{\sim}{q}_h)}{\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h\|_{1,\Omega}\|\underset{\sim}{q}_h\|_{0,\Omega}} \geqslant C. \tag{32}$$

Noting (23), we try to construct a Frotin operator. Denote by $\Pi_1 : H_0^1(\Omega) \to L_{h0}^1$ the Clément interpolant ([10]), and define $\Pi_h : H_0^1(\Omega) \to \mathfrak{L}_{h0}^k$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (\Pi_h v)(M) = (\Pi_1 v)(M), & \forall\, M \in \mathcal{X}_h; \\ \displaystyle\int_F (\Pi_h v)\gamma ds = \int_F v\gamma ds, & \forall\, \gamma \in P_{k-1}(F) \text{ and } \forall\, F \in \mathcal{F}_h; \\ \displaystyle\int_K (\Pi_h v)\delta dx = \int_K v\delta dx, & \forall\, \delta \in P_{k-2}(K) \text{ and } \forall\, K \in \mathcal{G}_h. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{L}_{h0}^k$ is L_{h0}^k combined with body and face bubbles, and the operator Π_h is well-defined. Then define $\underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h : \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega) \to (\mathfrak{L}_{h0}^k)^3$ by

$$\underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h \underset{\sim}{v} = (\Pi_h v_1, \Pi_h v_2, \Pi_h v_3)^\top, \quad \text{for } \underset{\sim}{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)^\top.$$

By standard technique, we obtain

$$\sum_K h_K^{2r-2} |v - \Pi_h v|_{r,K}^2 \leqslant C\|v\|_{1,\Omega}^2, \quad r = 0, 1,$$

and

$$\sum_K h_K^{2r-2} |\underset{\sim}{v} - \underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h \underset{\sim}{v}|_{r,K}^2 \leqslant C\|\underset{\sim}{v}\|_{1,\Omega}^2, \quad r = 0, 1.$$

Now, as $\underset{\sim}{q}_h \in (P_{k-1}(\mathcal{T}_h))^3$ and thus $\operatorname{curl} \underset{\sim}{q}_h \in (P_{k-2}(\mathcal{T}_h))^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \operatorname{curl}(\underset{\sim}{v} - \underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h \underset{\sim}{v}) \cdot \underset{\sim}{q}_h &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{G}_h} \int_K (\operatorname{curl}(\underset{\sim}{v} - \underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h \underset{\sim}{v}) \cdot \underset{\sim}{q}_h) \\ &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{G}_h} \left(\int_K (\underset{\sim}{v} - \underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h \underset{\sim}{v}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} \underset{\sim}{q}_h + \sum_{F \subset \partial K} \int_F (\underset{\sim}{v} - \underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h \underset{\sim}{v}) \times \mathbf{n}_F \cdot \underset{\sim}{q}_h \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Thus $\underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h$ is a Fortin operator such that

$$\|\underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h \underset{\sim}{v}\|_{1,\Omega} \leqslant C\|\underset{\sim}{v}\|_{1,\Omega}, \ (\operatorname{curl}(\underset{\sim}{v} - \underset{\sim}{\Pi}_h \underset{\sim}{v}), \underset{\sim}{q}_h) = 0, \ \forall\, \underset{\sim}{q}_h \in (P_{k-1}(\mathcal{T}_h))^3, \tag{35}$$

and this completes the proof. □

4.2. **Finite element discretization of** (24)~(26)**.** Associated with the formulation (24)~(26), we propose a discretization scheme for (21) below.

Discretization **A.**

(1) find $r_h \in L_{h0}^k$, such that

$$(\nabla r_h, \nabla v_h) = -\langle f_1, v_h\rangle, \forall\, v_h \in L_{h0}^k; \tag{36}$$

(2) find $(\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h, p_h) \in (\mathfrak{L}_{h0}^m)^3 \times \mathbb{N}_{h0}^k \times L_{h0}^k$, such that, for $(\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h, \underset{\sim}{\eta}_h, q_h) \in (\mathfrak{L}_{h0}^m)^3 \times \mathbb{N}_{h0}^k \times L_{h0}^k$,

(37)
$$\begin{cases} (\alpha \mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h) + (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h) & (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h, \mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h) = \langle \underset{\sim}{f}_2, \underset{\sim}{\psi}_h\rangle - (\nabla r_h, \underset{\sim}{\psi}_h), \\ & (\underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h, \nabla q_h) = 0, \\ (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta}_h) & +(\underset{\sim}{\eta}_h, \nabla p_h) = 0; \end{cases}$$

(3) find $u_h \in L_{h0}^m$, such that

$$(\nabla u_h, \nabla s_h) = (\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \nabla s_h),\ \forall\, s_h \in L_{h0}^m. \tag{38}$$

By Lemma 19, if $m \geqslant k$, all the three subproblems are well-posed. The estimate Lemma 10 works.

4.2.1. *An economical optimal finite element quintet on convex polyhedrons.* By Lemma 10, the rate of $\|u-u_h\|_{1,\Omega}$ can be comparable to $\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h\|_{0,\Omega}$, and $\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h\|_{1,\Omega}$ can be comparable to $\|r-r_h\|_{0,\Omega}$; this is coincident with the regularity estimate of Theorem 17 on convex domains. An economical optimal scheme is to set $k = 1$ and $m = 2$ in (36)~(38).

Lemma 20. *Let Ω be a convex polyhedron, and assume α is smooth on Ω. Let $f_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\underset{\sim}{f}_2 \in \underset{\sim}{L}^2(\Omega)$. Let $r \sim (\underset{\sim}{\varphi}, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}, p) \sim u$ and $r_h \sim (\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h, p_h) \sim u_h$ be the solutions of Decoupled formulation **A** and Discretization **A**, respectively. Then*

(1) $\|r - r_h\|_{1,\Omega} \leqslant Ch\|f_1\|_{0,\Omega}$ and $\|r - r_h\|_{0,\Omega} \leqslant Ch^2\|f_1\|_{0,\Omega}$;
(2) $\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi} - \underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{\zeta} - \underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h\|_{\mathrm{curl},\Omega} + \|p - p_h\|_{1,\Omega} \leqslant Ch(\|f_1\|_{-1,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{f}_2\|_{0,\Omega})$;
(3) $\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi} - \underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h\|_{0,\Omega} \leqslant Ch^2(\|f_1\|_{0,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{f}_2\|_{0,\Omega})$ and $\|u - u_h\|_{1,\Omega} \leqslant Ch^2(\|f_1\|_{0,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{f}_2\|_{0,\Omega})$.

Proof. We only have to estimate $\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi} - \underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h\|_{0,\Omega}$ and $\|u - u_h\|_{1,\Omega}$. The others follow from Lemma 10 and Theorem 17 directly.

Denote $\underset{\sim}{V} := H_0^1(\Omega) \times \underset{\sim}{H}_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$, and define $a_s(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\underset{\sim}{V}$ as

$$a_s((u, \underset{\sim}{\varphi}, p, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}, r), (v, \underset{\sim}{\psi}, q, \underset{\sim}{\eta}, s)) := -(\nabla r, \nabla v) + (\alpha \mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}, \mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\psi}) + (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}, \mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi})$$
$$+ (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta}, \mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}) + (\nabla r, \underset{\sim}{\psi}) + (\underset{\sim}{\zeta}, \nabla q) + (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}, \mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta}) + (\underset{\sim}{\eta}, \nabla p) - (\nabla u, \nabla s) + (\underset{\sim}{\varphi}, \nabla s).$$

Decoupled formulation **A** is equivalent to, with same variables, finding $(u, \underset{\sim}{\varphi}, p, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}, r) \in \underset{\sim}{V}$, such that

$$a_s((u, \underset{\sim}{\varphi}, p, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}, r), (v, \underset{\sim}{\psi}, q, \underset{\sim}{\eta}, s)) = \langle f_1, v\rangle + \langle \underset{\sim}{f}_2, \underset{\sim}{\psi}\rangle, \ \forall (v, \underset{\sim}{\psi}, q, \underset{\sim}{\eta}, s) \in \underset{\sim}{V}. \tag{39}$$

Denote $\underset{\sim}{V}_h := L^2_{h0} \times (\mathfrak{L}^1_{h0})^3 \times L^1_{h0} \times \mathbb{N}^1_{h0} \times L^1_{h0}$ and $\underset{\sim}{V}'_h := L^1_{h0} \times (\mathfrak{L}^1_{h0})^3 \times L^1_{h0} \times \mathbb{N}^1_{h0} \times L^2_{h0}$, and Discretization **A** with $m = 2$ and $k = 1$ is equivalent to finding $(u_h, \underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, p_h, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h, r_h) \in \underset{\sim}{V}_h$, such that

$$a_s((u_h, \underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, p_h, \underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h, r_h), (v_h, \underset{\sim}{\psi}_h, q_h, \underset{\sim}{\eta}_h, s_h)) = \langle f_1, v_h\rangle + \langle \underset{\sim}{f}_2, \underset{\sim}{\psi}_h\rangle, \ \forall (v_h, \underset{\sim}{\psi}_h, q_h, \underset{\sim}{\eta}_h, s_h) \in \underset{\sim}{V}'_h. \tag{40}$$

Now denote $\hat{\underset{\sim}{V}}_h := L^1_{h0} \times (\mathfrak{L}^1_{h0})^3 \times L^1_{h0} \times \mathbb{N}^1_{h0} \times L^1_{h0}$, and let $(\hat{u}_h, \hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h, \hat{p}_h, \hat{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}_h, \hat{r}_h) \in \hat{\underset{\sim}{V}}_h$ be such that

$$a_s((\hat{u}_h, \hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h, \hat{p}_h, \hat{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}_h, \hat{r}_h), (\hat{v}_h, \hat{\underset{\sim}{\psi}}_h, \hat{q}_h, \hat{\underset{\sim}{\eta}}_h, \hat{s}_h)) = \langle f_1, v_h\rangle + \langle \underset{\sim}{f}_2, \underset{\sim}{\psi}_h\rangle \forall (\hat{v}_h, \hat{\underset{\sim}{\psi}}_h, \hat{q}_h, \hat{\underset{\sim}{\eta}}_h, \hat{s}_h) \in \hat{\underset{\sim}{V}}_h. \tag{41}$$

Then it follows that

$$\hat{r}_h = r_h, \ \ \hat{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}_h = \underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h, \ \ \hat{p}_h = p_h, \ \ \text{and} \ \ \hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h = \underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h.$$

By Ceá lemma,

$$\|r - \hat{r}_h\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{\zeta} - \hat{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}_h\|_{\mathrm{curl},\Omega} + \|p - \hat{p}_h\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{\varphi} - \hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h\|_{1,\Omega} + \|u - \hat{u}_h\|_{1,\Omega}$$
$$\leqslant Ch(\|r\|_{2,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{\zeta}\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta}\|_{1,\Omega} + \|p\|_{2,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\|_{2,\Omega} + \|u\|_{2,\Omega}) \leqslant Ch(\|f_1\|_{0,\Omega} + \|\underset{\sim}{f}_2\|_{0,\Omega}).$$

Let $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}, \tilde{r}) \in \underset{\sim}{V}$ be the solution of

$$a_s((\tilde{u}, \tilde{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}, \tilde{r}), (v, \underset{\sim}{\psi}, q, \underset{\sim}{\eta}, s)) = (\underset{\sim}{\varphi} - \hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h, \underset{\sim}{\psi}), \ \forall (v, \underset{\sim}{\psi}, q, \underset{\sim}{\eta}, s) \in \underset{\sim}{V}. \tag{42}$$

Then it can be proved that $\tilde{r} = 0$, $\tilde{p} = 0$, and

$$\|\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}\|_{2,\Omega} + \|\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\mathrm{curl}\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{3,\Omega} \leqslant C\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi} - \hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

By (42),

$$(\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h,\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h)=a_s((\tilde{u},\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}},\tilde{p},\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}},\tilde{r}),(u-\hat{u}_h,\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h,p-\hat{p}_h,\underset{\sim}{\zeta}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}_h,r-\hat{r}_h)),$$

and further

$$(\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h,\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h)=a_s((\tilde{u}-\tilde{v}_h,\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}-\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\psi}}_h,\tilde{p}-\tilde{q}_h,\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}-\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\eta}}_h,\tilde{r}-\tilde{s}_h),(u-\hat{u}_h,\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h,p-\hat{p}_h,\underset{\sim}{\zeta}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}_h,r-\hat{r}_h)),$$

for any $(\tilde{v}_h,\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\psi}}_h,\tilde{q}_h,\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\eta}}_h,\tilde{s}_h)\in\hat{\underset{\sim}{V}}_h$. Thus

$$\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2\leqslant Ch^2(\|\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}\|_{2,\Omega}+\|\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}\|_{1,\Omega}+\|\mathrm{curl}\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\zeta}}\|_{1,\Omega}+\|\tilde{u}\|_{2,\Omega})$$
$$\cdot(\|r\|_{2,\Omega}+\|\underset{\sim}{\zeta}\|_{1,\Omega}+\|\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta}\|_{1,\Omega}+\|p\|_{2,\Omega}+\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}\|_{2,\Omega}+\|u\|_{2,\Omega}),$$

which leads further to

$$\|\underset{\sim}{\varphi}-\hat{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h\|_{0,\Omega}\leqslant Ch^2(\|f_1\|_{0,\Omega}+\|\underset{\sim}{f}_2\|_{0,\Omega}).$$

By Lemma 10, this leads to $\|u-u_h\|_{1,\Omega}\leqslant Ch^2(\|f_1\|_{0,\Omega}+\|\underset{\sim}{f}_2\|_{0,\Omega})$, and completes the proof. □

4.3. **Finite element discretization of** (43)~(45)**.** Associated with the formulation (43)~(45), we propose a discretization scheme for (21) below.

Discretization **B.**

(1) find $r_h\in L_{h0}^k$, such that

$$(\nabla r_h,\nabla v_h)=-\langle f_1,v_h\rangle,\ \forall\, v_h\in L_{h0}^k; \tag{43}$$

(2) with r_h solved out, find $(\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h,\underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h)\in(\mathfrak{L}_{h0}^m)^3\times\mathbb{N}_{h0}^k$, such that, for $(\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h,\underset{\sim}{\eta}_h)\in(\mathfrak{L}_{h0}^m)^3\times\mathbb{N}_{h0}^k$,

$$\begin{cases}(\alpha\mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h,\mathrm{div}\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h)+(\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h,\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h)\quad(\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\zeta}_h,\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h) &= \langle\underset{\sim}{f}_2,\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h\rangle-(\nabla r_h,\underset{\sim}{\psi}_h),\\ (\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h,\mathrm{curl}\underset{\sim}{\eta}_h) &= 0;\end{cases} \tag{44}$$

(3) with $\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h$ solved out, find $u_h\in L_{h0}^m$, such that

$$(\nabla u_h,\nabla s_h)=(\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h,\nabla s_h),\ \forall\, s_h\in L_{h0}^m. \tag{45}$$

The lemma below is immediate.

Lemma 21. *Set $m \geqslant k$ for Discretization **B**. Given $f_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $\underset{\sim}{f}_2 \in \underset{\sim}{H}^{-1}(\Omega)$, let $r_h \sim (\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \xi_h, p_h) \sim u_h$ be the solution of Discretisation **A**, then $r_h \sim (\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h, \xi_h) \sim u_h$ is a solution of Discretisation **B**, and if $\tilde{r}_h \sim (\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h, \tilde{\xi}_h) \sim \tilde{u}_h$ is another solution of Discretisation **B**, then $\tilde{r}_h = r_h$, $\tilde{\underset{\sim}{\varphi}}_h = \underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h$ and $\tilde{u}_h = u_h$.*

Remark 22. *In practice, Discretisation **B** is an ill-posed system with smaller size, but u_h and $\underset{\sim}{\varphi}_h$ which are more concerned can be approximated well with the scheme.*

5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, the construction of decoupled mixed element scheme for fourth order problems is studied, and a general process is designed in an intrinsic way for problems of certain types. Once some mild conditions are verified, a problem on high-regularity space can be decoupled to subproblems on low-regularity space. This process may bring in bigger flexibility on designing stable discretisation schemes; the schemes can be implemented by various finite element packages. In this paper, the three dimensional biLaplacian equation with constant and variable coefficient are studied under the general framework. In the future, the application of the methodology for other problems in applications in, e.g., linear elasticity and acoustics, and other fields, will be discussed. The framework itself can be generalised to more complicated situations.

Due to the equivalence between the primal and decoupled formulations, it could be natural to expect equivalence between some discretised problem in order reduced formulation and some discretised problem in primal formulation (c.f. [1,2,28]). Also, their cooperation can be of interests and be discussed in future. Finally we remark that, the framework admits utilisation of ill-posed subproblems; this will bring convenience in designing and implementing numerical schemes and will be discussed more in future. The fast solution of such problems will also be studied.

References

[1] D N Arnold, L R Scott, and M Vogelius. Regular inversion of the divergence operator with dirichlet boundary conditions on a polygon. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze, 15(2):169–192, 1988.

[2] Douglas N Arnold and Franco Brezzi. Mixed and nonconforming finite element methods: implementation, post-processing and error estimates. RAIRO-Modélisation mathématique et analyse numérique, 19(1):7–32, 1985.

[3] Douglas N Arnold, Richard S Falk, and Ragnar Winther. Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications. Acta numerica, 15:1–155, 2006.

[4] Edwin M Behrens and Johnny Guzmán. A mixed method for the biharmonic problem based on a system of first-order equations. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 49(2):789–817, 2011.

[5] Daniele Boffi, Franco Brezzi, Michel Fortin, et al. Mixed finite element methods and applications, volume 44. Springer, 2013.

[6] Susanne C Brenner, Peter Monk, and Jiguang Sun. c^0 interior penalty galerkin method for biharmonic eigenvalue problems. In Spectral and High Order Methods for Partial Differential Equations ICOSAHOM 2014, pages 3–15. Springer, 2015.

[7] Susanne C Brenner and Li-Yeng Sung. c^0 interior penalty methods for fourth order elliptic boundary value problems on polygonal domains. Journal of Scientific Computing, 22(1-3):83–118, 2005.

[8] Philippe G Ciarlet. The finite element method for elliptic problems, 1978.

[9] Philippe G Ciarlet and Pierre-Arnaud Raviart. A mixed finite element method for the biharmonic equation. In Proceedings of Symposium on Mathematical Aspects of Finite Elements in PDE, pages 125–145, 1974.

[10] Ph Clément. Approximation by finite element functions using local regularization. Revue française d'automatique, informatique, recherche opérationnelle. Analyse numérique, 9(2):77–84, 1975.

[11] David Colton and Peter Monk. The inverse scattering problem for time-harmonic acoustic waves in an inhomogeneous medium. The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics, 41(1):97–125, 1988.

[12] G Engel, K Garikipati, TJR Hughes, MG Larson, L Mazzei, and RL Taylor. Continuous/discontinuous finite element approximations of fourth-order elliptic problems in structural and continuum mechanics with applications to thin beams and plates, and strain gradient elasticity. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 191(34):3669–3750, 2002.

[13] Emmanuil H Georgoulis and Paul Houston. Discontinuous galerkin methods for the biharmonic problem. IMA journal of numerical analysis, 29(3):573–594, 2009.

[14] Vivette Girault and Pierre-Arnaud Raviart. Finite element methods for Navier-Stokes equations: theory and algorithms, volume 5. Springer, 1986.

[15] Morton E Gurtin. The linear theory of elasticity. In Linear Theories of Elasticity and Thermoelasticity, pages 1–295. Springer, 1973.

[16] Kare Hellan. Analysis of elastic plates in flexure by a simplified finite element method. ACTA POLYTECHNICA SCANDINAVICA-CIVIL ENGINEERING AND BUILDING CONSTRUCTION SERIES, (46):1, 1967.

[17] Leonard R Herrmann. Finite-element bending analysis for plates(approximation method for finite element bending analysis of variable structural plates, giving linear equations defining nodal values). American society of civil engineers, engineering mechanics division, journal, 93:13–26, 1967.

[18] Ralf Hiptmair. Finite elements in computational electromagnetism. Acta Numerica, 11:237–339, 2002.

[19] Ralf Hiptmair and Jinchao Xu. Nodal auxiliary space preconditioning in h (curl) and h (div) spaces. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 45(6):2483–2509, 2007.

[20] Claes Johnson. On the convergence of a mixed finite-element method for plate bending problems. Numerische Mathematik, 21(1):43–62, 1973.

[21] Fumio Kikuchi. Mixed formulations for finite element analysis of magnetostatic and electrostatic problems. Japan Journal of Applied Mathematics, 6(2):209–221, 1989.

[22] Andreas Kirsch. The denseness of the far field patterns for the transmission problem. IMA journal of applied mathematics, 37(3):213–225, 1986.

[23] Zheng Li and Shuo Zhang. An amiable mixed element method for biharmonic equation. preprint, 2016.

[24] Lin Mu, Junping Wang, Xiu Ye, and Shangyou Zhang. A c^0-weak galerkin finite element method for the biharmonic equation. Journal of Scientific Computing, 59(2):473–495, 2014.

[25] Jean-Claude Nédélec. Mixed finite elements in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Numerische Mathematik, 35(3):315–341, 1980.

[26] Joseph E Pasciak and Jun Zhao. Overlapping schwarz methods in h (curl) on polyhedral domains. Journal of Numerical Mathematics, 10(3):221–234, 2002.

[27] Dirk Pauly and Walter Zulehner. On closed and exact grad-grad-and div-div-complexes, corresponding compact embeddings for symmetric rotations, and a related decomposition result for biharmonic problems in 3d. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.05873, 2016.

[28] L R Scott and M Vogelius. Norm estimates for a maximal right inverse of the divergence operator in spaces of piecewise polynomials. RAIRO-Modélisation mathématique et analyse numérique, 19(1):111–143, 1985.

[29] Chunmei Wang and Junping Wang. An efficient numerical scheme for the biharmonic equation by weak galerkin finite element methods on polygonal or polyhedral meshes. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 68(12):2314–2330, 2014.

[30] Ming Wang, Zhong-ci Shi, and Jinchao Xu. A new class of zienkiewicz-type non-conforming element in any dimensions. Numerische Mathematik, 106(2):335–347, 2007.

[31] Ming Wang, Zhong-Ci Shi, and Jinchao Xu. Some n-rectangle nonconforming elements for fourth order elliptic equations. Journal of Computational Mathematics, pages 408–420, 2007.

[32] Ming Wang and Jinchao Xu. The morley element for fourth order elliptic problems in any dimension. Numerische Mathematik, 103:155–169, 2006.

[33] Ming Wang and Jinchao Xu. Nonconforming tetrahedral finite elements for fourth order elliptic equations. Mathematics of computation, 76(257):1–18, 2007.

[34] Ming Wang and Jinchao Xu. Minimal finite element spaces for $2m$-th-order partial differential equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Mathematics of Computation, 82(281):25–43, 2013.

[35] Alexander Ženíšek. Polynomial approximation on tetrahedrons in the finite element method. Journal of Approximation Theory, 7(4):334–351, 1973.

[36] Ran Zhang and Qilong Zhai. A weak galerkin finite element scheme for the biharmonic equations by using polynomials of reduced order. Journal of Scientific Computing, 64(2):559–585, 2015.

[37] Shangyou Zhang. A family of 3d continuously differentiable finite elements on tetrahedral grids. Applied Numerical Mathematics, 59(1):219–233, 2009.

[38] Shuo Zhang. Regular decomposition and a framework of order reducd methods for fourth order problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.00154, 2016.

LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: szhang@lsec.cc.ac.cn